Case 2:12-cv-00604-MSG Document 37-4 Filed 01/07/13 Page 1 of 2

ROBERT J. WILEY Board Cattlifed Specialist, Labor and Employment Law, Texas Board of Legal Specialization Licensed in California, Texas, and Washington, D.C.

GREGORY A. PLACZEK, Trial Lawyer Licensed in Texas and Missouri JUSTIN G. MANCHESTER, Trial Lawyer Licensed in Texas
COLIN W. WALSH, Trial Lawyer Licensed in Texas
JESSICA COHEN, Trial lawyer Licensed in Texas, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania
CASEY M. RANSOM, Trial lawyer Licensed in Texas
STACY L. COLE, Trial lawyer Licensed in Texas



DALLAS-FORT WORTH OFFICE 1825 Market Center Blvd., Ste. 385 Dallas, Texas 75207

Telephone: (214) 528-6500 Facsimite: (214) 528-6511

SAN ANTONIO OFFICE 1100 NW Loop 410, Ste. 700 San Antonio, Texas 78213

Telephone: (210) 319-4414 Faosimile: (210) 881-6755

AUSTIN OFFICE 1011 San Jacinto Bivd., Ste. 401 Austin, TX 78701

Telephone: (512) 271-5527 FacsImile: (512) 287-3084 TOLL FREE: (800) 313-4020

December 20, 2012

Joshua F. Alloy PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 400 South Washington, DC 20004-2533

Re: Michael Estrada v. Maguire Insurance Agency, Inc.

Dear Mr. Alloy:

I am writing you pursuant to Federal Rule 37. As you know, the plaintiff noticed the deposition of the corporate representative pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6). The notice contained a subpoena duces tecum and specifically identified those matters on which the corporate representative would be expected to testify. At the deposition, there was no written response to the subpoena duces tecum. Further, the witness was wholly unprepared to testify with any personal knowledge of the day-to-day activities of Fast Track Auto Claims Adjusters. Specifically, the corporate representative was unwilling and/or unable to testify concerning any Fast Track claims adjuster other than Mr. Estrada.

The Court ordered the parties to engage in discovery so that a motion for conditional class certification could be filed. The issue in such a motion is whether a plaintiff is "similarly situated" to other Fast Track claims adjusters. The actions of the defendant are an intentional and knowing attempt to avoid responding to the very discovery ordered by this court.

This letter is our attempt in good faith to obtain the disclosures or discovery without court action. In the event that court intervention is necessitated, we will be asking the court for the following: (1) a court ordered second deposition of the corporate representative (or knowledgeable individual acting as the corporate representative) where the deponent will be ordered to respond appropriately; (2) a written response to the subpoena duces tecum; (3) fees and costs associated with the taking of the deposition of the corporate representative; and (4) in the alternative, granting conditional class certification, striking defendant's affirmative defenses and/or rendering a default judgment in favor of plaintiff.

Because our motion is due on January 7, 2013, I request that you respond in writing to this request within ten (10) days.

December 20, 2012 Page 2

Please do not hesitate to contact me at the Dallas office if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours, ROB WILEY, P.C.

Jessica Cohen

jcohen@robwiley.com (214) 528-6500

cc:

Mr. Estrada

file